

Lambda Functions for C++0x

Jaakko Järvi John Freeman

March 19, 2008

- This talk answers
 - What are lambda functions?
 - Why does C++ need them?
 - Why are library solutions not good enough?
 - How is it non-trivial to add them as a language feature?
 - What is your proposal?

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Proposal
- 4 Future
- 5 Conclusion

Introduction

- Regular functions consist of three parts

- Declaration, i.e. name and type

```
int increment(int i)
```

- Definition, i.e. executable code

```
{ return i + 1; }
```

- Use, as either function pointer or call

```
increment(0);
```

- Sometimes useful to combine all three

- Short functions used only once
- Arguments to higher-order functions, like `for_each`
- Callbacks in GUI frameworks

- Lambda functions let us do this

- Ubiquitous in functional languages
- Increasingly useful in object-oriented languages

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation**
- 3 Proposal
- 4 Future
- 5 Conclusion

- Widely adopted Standard Template Library (STL) begs for lambdas
- Ideally, select algorithm, specify iterators, and throw in function object

```
transform(a.begin(), a.end(), b.begin(), result.begin(), plus<int>());
```

- Sometimes needs a little tinkering

```
string round(double n, int places);
```

...

```
transform(x.begin(), x.end(), y.begin(), bind2nd(ptr_fun(round, 2)));
```

- Realistically, nice and compact function objects are only that way in textbook examples
- Very simple and common situations are not supported at all, requiring either a verbose custom function object...

```
int bar(int a, int b, int c);
```

```
...
```

```
class bar_caller {  
    int a_, b_;  
public:  
    bar_caller(int a, int b) : a_(a), b_(b) {}  
    int operator()(int c) const {  
        return bar(a_, b_, c);  
    }  
};
```

```
...
```

```
transform(x.begin(), x.end(), y.begin(), bar_caller(a, b));
```

- ... or a cumbersome mishmash of STL

```
transform(angles.begin(), angles.end(),  
         sines.begin(),  
         compose1(negate<double>(),  
                 compose1(ptr_fun(sin),  
                           bind2nd(multiplies<double>(), pi / 180.0))));  
// -sin(x * pi / 180)
```

Lambda Libraries

- Several libraries try to embed a lambda “sublanguage”
- Tangible improvements in many cases
- STL

```
transform(angles.begin(), angles.end(),  
         sines.begin(),  
         compose1(negate<double>(),  
                 compose1(ptr_fun(sin),  
                           bind2nd(multiplies<double>(),  
                                   pi / 180.0))));
```

- Boost Lambda Library

```
transform(angles.begin(), angles.end(),  
         sines.begin(),  
         — bind(sin, _1 * pi / 180.0));
```

- Problems:

- Long compilation times
- Fail in unexpected ways

```
for_each(a.begin(), a.end(), cout << " " << _1);  
for_each(a.begin(), a.end(), _1.foo());
```

- Cryptic and extremely long error messages

```
error: const struct boost::lambda::lambda_functor<  
    boost::lambda::placeholder<1>  
    > has no member named foo
```

- 95% solution

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Proposal**
- 4 Future
- 5 Conclusion

Lambda Expressions

- What we want is to define a function from within an expression
- Such “local” functions need access to the current *environment*

```
for_each(a.begin(), a.end(), bind1st(plus<int>(), x));
```

- Function + Environment = Closure

- Function objects define closures

```
bind1st(plus<int>(), x) // x stored as a member variable
```

- So do lambda expressions, but avoid problems of the library solutions

```
[x] (int y) → int { return x + y; }
```

- Safety
 - Issues with storing environment
- Conciseness
 - Want to trim syntax everywhere possible
 - Primary tool is type deduction
- ...

Environment

- Variables defined outside but used within a lambda expression, i.e. *free variables*, need to be stored in the closure somehow
- Two choices: copy or reference
 - Neither is universally good
- A copy is generally safe, but sometimes impossible or inefficient

```
std::ostream out;
```

```
... [] () { return out << "Hello, World!"; } ... // std::ostream is uncopyable
```

```
vector<int> v(1000000);
```

```
... [] (int i) { return v[i]; } ... // copies million-element vector
```

- A reference is generally executable, but sometimes unsafe

```
A a;
```

```
... return [] () { return a; } ... // returns reference to local variable
```

- The programmer knows best: explicit *capture-list*

```
... [&out] () { return out << "Hello, World!"; } ...
```

```
... [&v] (int i) { return v[i]; } ...
```

```
... return [a] () { return a; } ...
```

Return Type Deduction

- Potentially ambiguous

```
[] (bool start) { if (start) return A(); else return Z(); };
```

- Restrict to single return expression

```
transform(a.begin(), a.end(), b.begin(), [] (int x) { return x; }); // copy a to b
```

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Proposal
- 4 Future**
- 5 Conclusion

Argument Type Deduction

- We would like to omit argument types as well
- Besides conciseness, additional advantage is polymorphism

```
template <typename F, typename T1, typename T2>  
void distribute(F f, const pair<T1, T2>& p) {  
    f(p.first); f(p.second); // f(a,b) = f(a), f(b)  
}
```

...

```
distribute([] (x) { return cout << x; }, make_pair("SAC", 2008));
```

Argument Type Deduction

- But still need to type check lambda body

```
[[] (x) { return cout << x; }) ("SAC"); // OK
```

```
[[] (x) { return cout << x; }) (non_streamable_object); // error
```

- Argument types need to be determined at some point
- Too late at call site: compromises modular type checking

```
template <typename F, typename T1, typename T2>
```

```
void distribute(F f, const pair<T1, T2>& p) {
```

```
    f(p.first); f(p.second); // f(a,b) = f(a), f(b)
```

```
}
```

```
...
```

```
distribute([[] (x) { return cout << x; }, make_pair(1, non_streamable_object));
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Parameter types must be inferred at definition site
- C#: if a lambda is passed to another function, we can use the type of the formal parameter

```
int origin(Func<int, int> f) { return f(0); }
```

```
origin((x) => return -x);
```

- Except C++ does not have a special function type (like `Func`) to match against

```
template <typename F>  
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

```
origin([] (x) { return -x; });
```

- Must use *concepts* (constrained templates)

- Concepts are new in C++0x, to allow modular type checking of templates

```
concept Negateable<typename T> {  
    T operator- (T); // constraint  
}
```

- Concepts are new in C++0x, to allow modular type checking of templates

```
concept Negateable<typename T> {  
    T operator- (T); // constraint  
}
```

```
template <typename T>  
    requires Negateable<T> // requires-clause  
T& negate(T& t) { return -t; }
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Back to lambdas

```
template <typename F>
```

```
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Back to lambdas

```
template <typename F>  
    requires Callable1<F, int>  
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Back to lambdas

```
template <typename F>  
    requires Callable1<F, int>  
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

```
concept Callable1<typename F, typename T1> {  
    typename result_type;  
    result_type F::operator() (T1); // argument types: T1  
}
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Back to lambdas

```
template <typename F>  
    requires Callable1<F, int>  
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

```
concept Callable1<typename F, typename T1> {  
    typename result_type;  
    result_type F::operator() (T1); // argument types: T1  
}
```

```
origin([] (x) { return -x; });
```

Argument Type Deduction

- Back to lambdas

```
template <typename F>
  requires Callable1<F, int>
int origin(F f) { return f(0); }
```

```
concept Callable1<typename F, typename T1> {
  typename result_type;
  result_type F::operator() (T1); // argument types: T1
}
```

```
origin([] (x) { return -x; });
```

- Implementation:
 - During constraint checking for lambda
 - Build list of argument types
 - Type-check lambda body
 - If successful, inject function into closure
 - Resume normal type-checking

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Motivation
- 3 Proposal
- 4 Future
- 5 Conclusion**

Conclusion

- Function objects are very important for generic programming
- Current syntax for defining them is too verbose, and is a hurdle for the effective use of the Standard Library, and other generic libraries
- Library solutions are inadequate because of limitations that do not allow supporting all common cases, and because of several subtleties
- A language solution is required, and can provide a safe, efficient, and fairly economical anonymous function feature for C++
- Lambda expressions are now part of the working draft of the next revision of ISO C++